

1 This ecosystem model is mainly based on the NEMURO model which was previously  
 2 developed and applied to the North Pacific (Yamanaka et al., 2004; Kishi et al., 2007). In this study,  
 3 we modified and extended the model in order to investigate the dynamics of bioelements, such as  
 4 nitrogen, silicon and iron in the ocean.

5 The unit used for each prognostic variable is as follows: for non-diatom small phytoplankton  
 6 (PS), diatoms (PL), micro-zooplankton (ZS), meso-zooplankton (ZL), predatory zooplankton (ZP),  
 7 nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub>), small/large particulate organic nitrogen (PON<sub>S</sub>, PON<sub>L</sub>), dissolved  
 8 organic nitrogen (DON) in molN l<sup>-1</sup>; for silicate (Si(OH)<sub>4</sub>) and biogenic silica (Opal) in molSi l<sup>-1</sup>; for  
 9 dissolved iron (Fe<sub>d</sub>) and particulate iron (Fe<sub>p</sub>) in molFe l<sup>-1</sup>. The prognostic variables are calculated as  
 10 a function of time, *t*, and depth, *z*. The governing equations for nitrogen, silicon and iron fluxes are  
 11 listed below.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[\text{PS}]}{dt} = & (\text{PS photosynthesis}) - (\text{PS respiration}) \\ & - (\text{PS extracellular excretion}) - (\text{PS mortality}) \\ & - (\text{PS grazing by ZS}) - (\text{PS grazing by ZL}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[\text{PL}]}{dt} = & (\text{PL photosynthesis}) - (\text{PL respiration}) \\ & - (\text{PL extracellular excretion}) - (\text{PL mortality}) \\ & - (\text{PL grazing by ZL}) - (\text{PL grazing by ZP}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[\text{ZS}]}{dt} = & (\text{PS grazing by ZS}) - (\text{ZS excretion}) \\ & - (\text{ZS egestion}) - (\text{ZS mortality}) \\ & - (\text{ZS predation by ZL}) - (\text{ZS predation by ZP}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[\text{ZL}]}{dt} = & (\text{PS grazing by ZL}) + (\text{PL grazing by ZL}) \\ & + (\text{ZS predation by ZL}) - (\text{ZL excretion}) \\ & - (\text{ZL egestion}) - (\text{ZL mortality}) \\ & - (\text{ZL predation by ZP}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[\text{ZP}]}{dt} = & (\text{PL grazing by ZP}) + (\text{ZS predation by ZP}) \\ & + (\text{ZL predation by ZP}) - (\text{ZP excretion}) \\ & - (\text{ZP egestion}) - (\text{ZP mortality}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
18 \quad \frac{d[\text{NO}_3]}{dt} &= (\text{nitrification}) \\
&\quad - \{(\text{PS photosynthesis}) - (\text{PS respiration})\} \\
&\quad \times R_{\text{news}} \\
&\quad - \{(\text{PL photosynthesis}) - (\text{PL respiration})\} \\
&\quad \times R_{\text{newL}},
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
19 \quad \frac{d[\text{NH}_4]}{dt} &= (\text{ZS excretion}) + (\text{ZL excretion}) \\
&\quad + (\text{ZP excretion}) + (\text{DON remineralization}) \\
&\quad + (\text{PON}_s \text{ remineralization}) \\
&\quad + (\text{PON}_L \text{ remineralization}) \\
&\quad - (\text{nitrification}) \\
&\quad - \{(\text{PS photosynthesis}) - (\text{PS respiration})\} \\
&\quad \times (1 - R_{\text{news}}) \\
&\quad - \{(\text{PL photosynthesis}) - (\text{PL respiration})\} \\
&\quad \times (1 - R_{\text{newL}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
20 \quad \frac{d[\text{PON}_s]}{dt} &= (\text{PS mortality}) + 0.5(\text{PL mortality}) \\
&\quad + (\text{ZS mortality}) + (\text{ZS egestion}) \\
&\quad - (\text{PON}_s \text{ remineralization}) \\
&\quad - (\text{PON}_s \text{ decomposition to DON}) \\
&\quad + (\text{PON}_s \text{ settlement}) \\
&\quad + (\text{Aggregation of DON to PON}_s) \\
&\quad - (\text{Aggregation of PON}_s \text{ to PON}_L),
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
21 \quad \frac{d[\text{PON}_L]}{dt} &= 0.5(\text{PL mortality}) + (\text{ZL mortality}) \\
&\quad + (\text{ZP mortality}) + (\text{ZL egestion}) \\
&\quad + (\text{ZP egestion}) \\
&\quad - (\text{PON}_L \text{ remineralization}) \\
&\quad - (\text{PON}_L \text{ decomposition to DON}) \\
&\quad + (\text{PON}_L \text{ settlement}) \\
&\quad + (\text{Aggregation of DON to PON}_L) \\
&\quad + (\text{Aggregation of PON}_s \text{ to PON}_L),
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d[\text{DON}]}{dt} = & \text{(PS extracellular excretion)} \\
& + \text{(PL extracellular excretion)} \\
& + \text{(PON}_s\text{ decomposition to DON)} \\
& + \text{(PON}_l\text{ decomposition to DON)} \\
& - \text{(Aggregation of DON to PON}_s\text{)} \\
& - \text{(Aggregation of DON to PON}_l\text{)} \\
& - \text{(DON remineralization),}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A10}$$

$$\frac{d[\text{Si(OH)}_4]}{dt} = \text{(Opal dissolution)} - \text{(Opal formation),}
\tag{A11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d[\text{Opal}]}{dt} = & \text{(Opal egestion by ZL)} + \text{(Opal egestion by ZP)} \\
& + \text{(Opal derived from PL mortality)} \\
& - \text{(Opal dissolution)} + \text{(Opal settlement),}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d[\text{Fe}_d]}{dt} = & \left[ \frac{d[\text{NO}_3]}{dt} + \frac{d[\text{NH}_4]}{dt} \right] \times R_{\text{FeN}} \\
& + \text{(Dust dissolution)} + \text{(Fe}_p\text{ desorption)} \\
& - \text{(Fe}_d\text{ scavenging),}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d[\text{Fe}_p]}{dt} = & \text{(Fe}_d\text{ scavenging)} - \text{(Fe}_p\text{ desorption)} \\
& + \text{(Fe}_p\text{ settlement)} - \text{(Fe}_p\text{ burial).}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A14}$$

27

## 28 **A2 Formulation of each source/sink process**

29 In the following, the model's source minus sink (sms) equations are listed, and parameter  
30 values described below are shown in Table 1.

31 Photosynthesis of PS is determined by temperature ( $T$ , °C),  $\text{NH}_4$ ,  $\text{NO}_3$ ,  $\text{Fe}_d$  and  
32 photosynthetically active radiation ( $I$ ,  $\text{W m}^{-2}$ ) to which solar radiation in the model is converted by  
33 multiplying by 0.45 as in Fujii et al. (2007), and is expressed as

34

$$\text{(PS photosynthesis)} = \min(\mu_N^{\text{PS}}, \mu_{\text{Fe}_d}^{\text{PS}}) L_{f,\text{PS}}(I) \exp(k_{\text{PS}} T) [\text{PS}],
\tag{A15}$$

36

37 where  $\mu_N^{\text{PS}}$  and  $\mu_{\text{Fe}_d}^{\text{PS}}$  are nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_4$  and  $\text{NO}_3$ ) and dissolved iron limited growth rates,  
38 respectively, and  $L_{f,\text{PS}}(I)$  is a non-dimensional light limiting factor.  $\mu_N^{\text{PS}}$  and  $\mu_{\text{Fe}_d}^{\text{PS}}$  are calculated  
39 based on the Optimum Uptake (OU) kinetics for nutrients proposed by Smith and Yamanaka (2007)  
40 and Smith et al. (2009) as follows:

41

$$42 \quad \mu_N^{\text{PS}} = \frac{V_{0,\text{PS}} [\text{NO}_3]}{[\text{NO}_3] + \frac{V_{0,\text{PS}}}{f_{\text{AS}} A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}}}} \left(1 - \frac{[\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + K_{\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}}}\right) + \frac{V_{0,\text{PS}} [\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + \frac{V_{0,\text{PS}}}{f_{\text{AS}} A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}}}}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

$$43 \quad \mu_{\text{Fe}_d}^{\text{PS}} = \frac{V_{0,\text{PS}} [\text{Fe}_d]}{[\text{Fe}_d] + \frac{V_{0,\text{PS}}}{f_{\text{AS}} A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PS}}}}, \quad (\text{A17})$$

44  
 45 where  $V_{0,\text{PS}}$ ,  $A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}}$ ,  $A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}}$  and  $A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PS}}$  are the potential maximum growth rate of PS, and  
 46 potential maximum affinity of PS for  $\text{NO}_3$ ,  $\text{NH}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_d$ , respectively, and  $f_{\text{AS}}$  represents the  
 47 fraction of internal resources (nitrogen) allocated to the cellular surface sites of PS. For inhibition of  
 48 nitrate uptake by ammonium, the parameterization of Vallina and Le Quéré (2008) is used. In this  
 49 study,  $A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}}$  is optimized, and  $A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}}$ ,  $A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PS}}$  and  $f_{\text{AS}}$  are expressed as follows:

$$51 \quad A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}} = A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}} \frac{K_{\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}}}{K_{\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}}}, \quad (\text{A18})$$

$$52 \quad A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PS}} = A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}} \frac{K_{\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}}}{K_{\text{Fe}_d,\text{PS}}}, \quad (\text{A19})$$

53  
 54 where  $K_{\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}}$  ( $1.0 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ),  $K_{\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}}$  ( $0.1 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ),  $K_{\text{Fe}_d,\text{PS}}$  ( $0.05 \text{ nmol l}^{-1}$ ) are values of  
 55 Michaelis-Menten half-saturation constants as estimated in previous studies (Yamanaka et al., 2004;  
 56 Takeda et al., 2006). Thus, the ratios of affinities for different nutrients, which determine which  
 57 nutrient will be limiting upon nutrient depletion, are kept consistent with the parameterizations of  
 58 previous studies. Although with affinity-based kinetics, values of the potential maximum affinity,  
 59  $A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}}$  and  $A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PS}}$  can be obtained from experimental data, just as half-saturation constants can  
 60 be obtained by fits to the Michaelis-Menten equation, few estimates of affinity-based parameters  
 61 exist for large-scale modeling. We therefore calculate initial estimates for potential maximum  
 62 affinities based on existing estimates of Michaelis-Menten (MM) half saturation constants from  
 63 previous modeling research.

$$64 \quad f_{\text{AS}} = \max \left[ \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\max(A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PS}} [\text{NO}_3], A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PS}} [\text{NH}_4])}{V_{0,\text{PS}}}} \right)^{-1}, \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PS}} [\text{Fe}_d]}{V_{0,\text{PS}}}} \right)^{-1} \right]. \quad (\text{A20})$$

65  
 66  
 67 Eq. (A20) for  $f_{\text{AS}}$  stipulates that acclimation occurs with respect to the limiting nutrient only.

68 For the non-dimensional light limiting factor of PS, the formula of Platt et al. (1980) is used,  
 69

$$70 \quad L_{f,PS}(I) = \frac{\left\{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_{PS} I}{P_{S,PS}}\right)\right\} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_{PS} I}{P_{S,PS}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_{PS}}{\alpha_{PS} + \beta_{PS}}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_{PS}}{\alpha_{PS} + \beta_{PS}}\right)^{\beta_{PS}/\alpha_{PS}}}, \quad (A21)$$

71  
 72 in which  $\alpha_{PS}$ ,  $\beta_{PS}$  and  $P_{S,PS}$  denote initial slope of the photosynthesis-irradiance ( $P-E$ ) curve,  
 73 photoinhibition index, and potential maximum light-saturated photosynthetic rate under the  
 74 prevailing condition.  $F$ -ratio of PS ( $R_{newS}$ ) can be defined as

$$75 \quad R_{newS} = \frac{\frac{V_{0,PS}[\text{NO}_3]}{[\text{NO}_3] + \frac{V_{0,PS}}{f_{AS} A_{0,\text{NO}_3,PS}} \left(1 - \frac{[\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + K_{\text{NH}_4,PS}}\right)}}{1 - f_{AS}}}{\frac{V_{0,PS}[\text{NO}_3]}{[\text{NO}_3] + \frac{V_{0,PS}}{f_{AS} A_{0,\text{NO}_3,PS}} \left(1 - \frac{[\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + K_{\text{NH}_4,PS}}\right)} + \frac{V_{0,PS}[\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + \frac{V_{0,PS}}{f_{AS} A_{0,\text{NH}_4,PS}}}}{1 - f_{AS}}}. \quad (A22)$$

77  
 78 Photosynthesis of PL is determined as in that of PS except that PL photosynthesis is also  
 79 dependent on silicate.

$$80 \quad (PL \text{ photosynthesis}) = \min(\mu_N^{\text{PL}}, \mu_{\text{Si}}^{\text{PL}}, \mu_{\text{Fe}_d}^{\text{PL}}) L_{f,PL}(I) \exp(k_{\text{PL}} T) [\text{PL}], \quad (A23)$$

81  
 82 where  $\mu_N^{\text{PL}}$ ,  $\mu_{\text{Si}}^{\text{PL}}$  and  $\mu_{\text{Fe}_d}^{\text{PL}}$  are nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_4$  and  $\text{NO}_3$ ),  $\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_d$  limited growth rates of  
 83 PL, respectively,  $L_{f,PL}(I)$  is a non-dimensional light limiting factor for PL.  $\mu_N^{\text{PL}}$ ,  $\mu_{\text{Si}}^{\text{PL}}$  and  $\mu_{\text{Fe}_d}^{\text{PL}}$   
 84 are expressed in the following.

$$85 \quad \mu_N^{\text{PL}} = \frac{\frac{V_{0,PL}[\text{NO}_3]}{[\text{NO}_3] + \frac{V_{0,PL}}{f_{AL} A_{0,\text{NO}_3,PL}} \left(1 - \frac{[\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + K_{\text{NH}_4,PL}}\right)}}{1 - f_{AL}}}{\frac{V_{0,PL}[\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + \frac{V_{0,PL}}{f_{AL} A_{0,\text{NH}_4,PL}}}}, \quad (A24)$$

$$86 \quad \mu_{\text{Si}}^{\text{PL}} = \frac{V_{0,PL}[\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4]}{[\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4] + \frac{V_{0,PL}}{f_{AL} A_{0,\text{Si},PL}}}, \quad (A25)$$

$$89 \quad \mu_{\text{Fe}_d}^{\text{PL}} = \frac{V_{0,\text{PL}} [\text{Fe}_d]}{\frac{[\text{Fe}_d]}{1 - f_{\text{AL}}} + \frac{V_{0,\text{PL}}}{f_{\text{AL}} A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PL}}}}, \quad (\text{A26})$$

90  
 91 where  $V_{0,\text{PL}}$ ,  $A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}}$ ,  $A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PL}}$ ,  $A_{0,\text{Si},\text{PL}}$  and  $A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PL}}$  are the potential maximum growth rate of PL,  
 92 and potential maximum affinity of PL for  $\text{NO}_3$ ,  $\text{NH}_4$ ,  $\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_d$ , respectively and  $f_{\text{AL}}$  denotes  
 93 the fraction of internal resources for nutrient uptake allocated to the cellular surface sites of PL. As  
 94 for PS, the ratios of potential maximum affinities are set based on pre-existing estimates of  
 95 Michaelis-Menten half-saturation constants,  $K_{\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}}$  ( $3.0 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ),  $K_{\text{NH}_4,\text{PL}}$  ( $0.3 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ),  $K_{\text{SiL},\text{PL}}$   
 96 ( $6.0 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ),  $K_{\text{Fe}_d,\text{PL}}$  ( $0.1 \text{nmol l}^{-1}$ ) as follows:

$$98 \quad A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PL}} = A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}} \frac{K_{\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}}}{K_{\text{NH}_4,\text{PL}}}, \quad (\text{A27})$$

$$99 \quad A_{0,\text{Si},\text{PL}} = A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}} \frac{K_{\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}}}{K_{\text{SiL},\text{PL}}}, \quad (\text{A28})$$

$$100 \quad A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PL}} = A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}} \frac{K_{\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}}}{K_{\text{Fe}_d,\text{PL}}}, \quad (\text{A29})$$

101  
 102 Eq. (A30) for  $f_{\text{AL}}$  stipulates that acclimation occurs with respect to the limiting nutrient only.

$$104 \quad f_{\text{AL}} = \max \left[ \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\max(A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}} [\text{NO}_3], A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PL}} [\text{NH}_4])}{V_{0,\text{PL}}}} \right)^{-1}, \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{A_{0,\text{Si},\text{PL}} [\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4]}{V_{0,\text{PL}}}} \right)^{-1}, \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{A_{0,\text{Fe}_d,\text{PL}} [\text{Fe}_d]}{V_{0,\text{PL}}}} \right)^{-1} \right]. \quad (\text{A30})$$

106  
 107 For the non-dimensional light limiting factor of PL, the formula of Platt et al. (1980) is also  
 108 used,

$$110 \quad L_{f,\text{PL}}(I) = \frac{\left\{ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_{\text{PL}} I}{P_{S,\text{PL}}}\right) \right\} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_{\text{PL}} I}{P_{S,\text{PL}}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_{\text{PL}}}{\alpha_{\text{PL}} + \beta_{\text{PL}}}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_{\text{PL}}}{\alpha_{\text{PL}} + \beta_{\text{PL}}}\right)^{\beta_{\text{PL}}/\alpha_{\text{PL}}}}. \quad (\text{A31})$$

111  
 112 F-ratio of PL ( $R_{\text{newL}}$ ) is defined as follows:

113

$$R_{\text{newL}} = \frac{\frac{V_{0,\text{PL}} [\text{NO}_3]}{[\text{NO}_3] + \frac{V_{0,\text{PL}}}{f_{\text{AL}} A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}}}}{1 - f_{\text{AL}}} \left( 1 - \frac{[\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + K_{\text{NH}_4,\text{PL}}} \right)}{\frac{V_{0,\text{PL}} [\text{NO}_3]}{[\text{NO}_3] + \frac{V_{0,\text{PL}}}{f_{\text{AL}} A_{0,\text{NO}_3,\text{PL}}}}{1 - f_{\text{AL}}} \left( 1 - \frac{[\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + K_{\text{NH}_4,\text{PL}}} \right) + \frac{V_{0,\text{PL}} [\text{NH}_4]}{[\text{NH}_4] + \frac{V_{0,\text{PL}}}{f_{\text{AL}} A_{0,\text{NH}_4,\text{PL}}}}{1 - f_{\text{AL}}}}. \quad (\text{A32})$$

115

116 Light intensity at the depth  $z$  used in Eqs. (A21) and (A31) is represented as follows:

117

$$I = I_0 \exp\left(-\int_0^z \kappa dz\right), \quad (\text{A33})$$

$$\kappa = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2([\text{PS}] + [\text{PL}]), \quad (\text{A34})$$

120

121 where  $I_0$  is the irradiance at the sea surface, imposed as a boundary condition, and  $\kappa$  is the light  
122 extinction coefficient.

123 The formulae used for respiration, extracellular excretion and mortality of phytoplankton, PS  
124 and PL, and mortality of zooplankton, ZS, ZL and ZP are the same as the previous model and read:

125

$$(\text{PS respiration}) = R_{\text{PS0}} \exp(k_{\text{RS}} T) [\text{PS}], \quad (\text{A35})$$

$$(\text{PL respiration}) = R_{\text{PL0}} \exp(k_{\text{RL}} T) [\text{PL}], \quad (\text{A36})$$

$$(\text{PS extracellular excretion}) = \gamma_{\text{S}} (\text{PS photosynthesis}), \quad (\text{A37})$$

$$(\text{PL extracellular excretion}) = \gamma_{\text{L}} (\text{PL photosynthesis}), \quad (\text{A38})$$

$$(\text{PS mortality}) = M_{\text{PS0}} \exp(k_{\text{MS}} T) [\text{PS}]^2, \quad (\text{A39})$$

$$(\text{PL mortality}) = M_{\text{PL0}} \exp(k_{\text{ML}} T) [\text{PL}]^2, \quad (\text{A40})$$

$$(\text{ZS mortality}) = M_{\text{ZS0}} \exp(k_{\text{MZS}} T) [\text{ZS}]^2, \quad (\text{A41})$$

$$(\text{ZL mortality}) = M_{\text{ZL0}} \exp(k_{\text{MZL}} T) [\text{ZL}]^2, \quad (\text{A42})$$

$$(\text{ZP mortality}) = M_{\text{ZP0}} \exp(k_{\text{MZP}} T) [\text{ZP}]^2, \quad (\text{A43})$$

135

136 As in the previous model, grazing and predation by zooplankton are derived from the  
137 formulae:

138

$$(\text{PS grazing by ZS}) = G_{\text{RmaxS}} \max[0, 1 - \exp\{\lambda_{\text{S}} (\text{PS}_{\text{ZS}}^* - [\text{PS}])\}] \times \exp(k_{\text{GS}} T) [\text{ZS}], \quad (\text{A44})$$

139

$$140 \quad (\text{PS grazing by ZL}) = G_{R_{\max,L,PS}} \max[0, 1 - \exp\{\lambda_L (\text{PS}_{ZL}^* - [\text{PS}])\}] \times \exp(k_{GL} T)[ZL], \quad (\text{A45})$$

$$141 \quad (\text{PL grazing by ZL}) = G_{R_{\max,L,PL}} \max[0, 1 - \exp\{\lambda_L (\text{PL}_{ZL}^* - [\text{PL}])\}] \times \exp(k_{GL} T)[ZL], \quad (\text{A46})$$

$$142 \quad (\text{ZS predation by ZL}) = G_{R_{\max,L,ZS}} \max[0, 1 - \exp\{\lambda_L (\text{ZS}_{ZL}^* - [\text{ZS}])\}] \times \exp(k_{GL} T)[ZL], \quad (\text{A47})$$

$$143 \quad (\text{PL grazing by ZP}) = G_{R_{\max,P,PL}} \max[0, 1 - \exp\{\lambda_P (\text{PL}_{ZP}^* - [\text{PL}])\}] \times \exp\{-\Psi_{PL}([\text{ZS}] + [\text{ZL}])\} \exp(k_{GP} T)[ZP], \quad (\text{A48})$$

$$144 \quad (\text{ZS predation by ZP}) = G_{R_{\max,P,ZS}} \max[0, 1 - \exp\{\lambda_P (\text{ZS}_{ZP}^* - [\text{ZS}])\}] \times \exp(-\Psi_{ZS}[ZL]) \exp(k_{GP} T)[ZP], \quad (\text{A49})$$

$$145 \quad (\text{ZL predation by ZP}) = G_{R_{\max,P,ZL}} \max[0, 1 - \exp\{\lambda_P (\text{ZL}_{ZP}^* - [\text{ZL}])\}] \times \exp(k_{GP} T)[ZP]. \quad (\text{A50})$$

146

147 Excretion and egestion for ZS, ZL and ZP are also the same as in the previous model and read:

148

$$149 \quad (\text{ZS excretion}) = (\alpha_{ZS} - \beta_{ZS})(\text{PS grazing by ZS}), \quad (\text{A51})$$

$$150 \quad (\text{ZL excretion}) = (\alpha_{ZL} - \beta_{ZL})\{(\text{PS grazing by ZL}) + (\text{PL grazing by ZL}) + (\text{ZS predation by ZL})\}, \quad (\text{A52})$$

$$151 \quad (\text{ZP excretion}) = (\alpha_{ZP} - \beta_{ZP})\{(\text{PL grazing by ZP}) + (\text{ZS predation by ZP}) + (\text{ZL predation by ZP})\}, \quad (\text{A53})$$

$$152 \quad (\text{ZS egestion}) = (1 - \alpha_{ZS})(\text{PS grazing by ZS}), \quad (\text{A54})$$

$$153 \quad (\text{ZL egestion}) = (1 - \alpha_{ZL})\{(\text{PS grazing by ZL}) + (\text{PL grazing by ZL}) + (\text{ZS predation by ZL})\}, \quad (\text{A55})$$

$$154 \quad (\text{ZP egestion}) = (1 - \alpha_{ZP})\{(\text{PL grazing by ZP}) + (\text{ZS predation by ZP}) + (\text{ZL predation by ZP})\}. \quad (\text{A56})$$

155

156 As in the previous model, decomposition and remineralization of  $\text{PON}_S$ ,  $\text{PON}_L$ , DON and Opal

157 and nitrification are formulated as follows:

158

$$159 \quad (\text{PON}_S \text{ remineralization}) = V_{\text{PAOS}} \exp(k_{\text{PAS}} T)[\text{PON}_S], \quad (\text{A57})$$

$$160 \quad (\text{PON}_S \text{ decomposition to DON}) = V_{\text{PDOS}} \exp(k_{\text{PDS}} T)[\text{PON}_S], \quad (\text{A58})$$

$$161 \quad (\text{PON}_L \text{ remineralization}) = V_{\text{PAOL}} \exp(k_{\text{PAL}} T)[\text{PON}_L], \quad (\text{A59})$$

$$162 \quad (\text{PON}_L \text{ decomposition to DON}) = V_{\text{PDOL}} \exp(k_{\text{PDL}} T)[\text{PON}_L], \quad (\text{A60})$$



163 (DON remineralization) =  $V_{\text{DA0}} \exp(k_{\text{DA}} T)[\text{DON}]$ , (A61)

164 (Opal dissolution) =  $V_{\text{Opal}} \exp(k_{\text{Opal}} T)[\text{Opal}]$ , (A62)

165 (Nitrification) =  $V_{\text{Nit0}} \exp(k_{\text{Nit}} T)[\text{NH}_4]$ , (A63)

166

167 Although PON is divided into two classes in the present model, the specific decomposition and  
168 remineralization rates are assumed to be the same.

169 The equations for the biogenic opal (Opal) are also the same as in the previous model, except  
170 for settling.

171

172 (Opal formation) =  $\{(\text{PL photosynthesis}) - (\text{PL respiration}) - (\text{PL extracellular excretion})\} \times R_{\text{SiN}}$ , (A64)

173 (Opal derived from PL mortality) =  $(\text{PL mortality}) \times R_{\text{SiN}}$ , (A65)

174 (Opal egestion by ZL) =  $(\text{PL grazing by ZL}) \times R_{\text{SiN}}$ , (A66)

175 (Opal egestion by ZP) =  $(\text{PL grazing by ZP}) \times R_{\text{SiN}}$ . (A67)

176

177  $R_{\text{SiN}}$  is determined by the surrounding dissolved iron concentration because in the iron deficient  
178 condition diatoms tend to uptake the silicate and nitrate in higher Si:N ratio than that in the iron rich  
179 condition (e.g., Takeda, 1998). That is simply formulated as follows:

180

181 
$$R_{\text{SiN}} = \begin{cases} R_{\text{SiNH}} & ([\text{Fe}_d] \geq \text{Fe}_{\text{SiN}}^*) \\ R_{\text{SiNL}} & ([\text{Fe}_d] < \text{Fe}_{\text{SiN}}^*) \end{cases}$$
 (A68)

182

183 The aggregation processes between DON,  $\text{PON}_s$  and  $\text{PON}_L$  due to turbulence and differential  
184 settling are considered based on the parameterization proposed by Aumont and Bopp (2006) as  
185 follows:

186

187 (Aggregation for DON to  $\text{PON}_s$ ) =  $\phi_1^{\text{DON}} \text{sh}[\text{DON}]^2 + \phi_2^{\text{DON}} \text{sh}[\text{DON}][\text{PON}_s]$ , (A69)

188 (Aggregation for DON to  $\text{PON}_L$ ) =  $\phi_3^{\text{DON}} \text{sh}[\text{DON}][\text{PON}_L]$ , (A70)

189 (Aggregation for  $\text{PON}_s$  to  $\text{PON}_L$ ) =  $\phi_1^{\text{PON}_s} \text{sh}[\text{PON}_s]^2 + \phi_2^{\text{PON}_s} \text{sh}[\text{PON}_s][\text{PON}_L]$   
 $+ \phi_3^{\text{PON}_s} [\text{PON}_s]^2 + \phi_4^{\text{PON}_s} [\text{PON}_s][\text{PON}_L]$ . (A71)

190

191 In (A69) to (A71), sh depicts the shear rate which was set at  $1 \text{ s}^{-1}$  in the mixed layer and at  $0.01$   
192  $\text{ s}^{-1}$  elsewhere.

193 The sinking of particles is described as follows:

194

$$195 \quad (\text{PON}_s \text{ settlement}) = -w^{\text{PON}_s} \frac{\partial[\text{PON}_s]}{\partial z}, \quad (\text{A72})$$

$$196 \quad (\text{PON}_L \text{ settlement}) = -\frac{\partial(w^{\text{PON}_L} [\text{PON}_L])}{\partial z}, \quad (\text{A73})$$

$$197 \quad (\text{Opal settlement}) = -\frac{\partial(w^{\text{Opal}} [\text{Opal}])}{\partial z}. \quad (\text{A74})$$

198

199 The sinking speed of  $\text{PON}_L$ ,  $w^{\text{PON}_L}$ , increases with depth as in Aumont and Bopp (2006) and reads:

200

$$201 \quad w^{\text{PON}_L} = w_{\min}^{\text{PON}_L} + (w_{\max}^{\text{PON}_L} - w_{\min}^{\text{PON}_L}) \times \left( \frac{z - z_{\text{MLD}}}{2000} \right). \quad (\text{A75})$$

202

203 where  $z_{\text{MLD}}$  is the depth of the mixed layer. So far, the sinking rate of Opal ( $w^{\text{Opal}}$ ) is the same as that  
204 of  $\text{PON}_L$ , and thus Opal settles at the same sinking speed as  $\text{PON}_L$ .

205 The formulae used for  $\text{Fe}_d$  and  $\text{Fe}_p$  are basically derived from the parameterization of Moore et  
206 al. (2004) and Moore and Braucher (2008). In terms of  $\text{Fe}_p$  desorption, we considered Arrhenius type  
207 temperature dependency. The settlement of  $\text{Fe}_p$  differs from the previous researches treating that as  
208 instantaneously sinking matter. However, dust is treated as instantaneously sinking matter as in the  
209 previous researches.

210

211

$$212 \quad (\text{Dust dissolution}) = \begin{cases} 0.01\alpha F_{0,\text{Fe}_{\text{dust}}} / \Delta z_s - \left( \frac{\partial F_{\text{Fe}_{\text{soft}_{\text{dust}}}}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial F_{\text{Fe}_{\text{hard}_{\text{dust}}}}}{\partial z} \right) & (\text{top - most layer}) \\ - \left( \frac{\partial F_{\text{Fe}_{\text{soft}_{\text{dust}}}}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial F_{\text{Fe}_{\text{hard}_{\text{dust}}}}}{\partial z} \right) & (\text{elsewhere}), \end{cases} \quad (\text{A76})$$

213

214

215 where  $F_{0,\text{Fe}_{\text{dust}}}$  is the dust-derived iron flux as the boundary condition and calculated using iron  
216 content ( $C_{\text{iron}}$ ) of 3.5% in dust, iron atomic weight ( $A_{w,\text{Fe}}$ ) and prescribed dust flux ( $F_{0,\text{dust}}$ ).  $\alpha$  is  
217 the % solubility of iron in dust, and  $\Delta z_s$  is the thickness of the model's top-most layer. All the  
218 soluble iron is treated as bioavailable one. As in the previous researches, left dust-derived iron flux is  
219 separated into two components, relatively labile ( $F_{\text{Fe}_{\text{soft}_{\text{dust}}}}$ ) and refractory ( $F_{\text{Fe}_{\text{hard}_{\text{dust}}}}$ ) components,

220 and the dissolutions at a given depth ( $z$ ) are considered with different length scale ( $\delta_{\text{soft\_dust}}, \delta_{\text{hard\_dust}}$ ) as  
 221 follows:  
 222

$$223 \quad F_{\text{Fe\_soft\_dust}}(z) = F_{0, \text{Fe\_dust}}(1 - 0.01\alpha)(1 - f_{\text{hard}})e^{-\frac{z}{\delta_{\text{soft\_dust}}}}, \quad (\text{A77})$$

$$224 \quad F_{\text{Fe\_hard\_dust}}(z) = F_{0, \text{Fe\_dust}}(1 - 0.01\alpha)f_{\text{hard}}e^{-\frac{z}{\delta_{\text{hard\_dust}}}}. \quad (\text{A78})$$

225  
 226 Dust is also treated as above in the model to reduce the computational cost of running the model, but  
 227 the dust flux at a given depth is involved in the below scavenging process.

228 The scavenging of  $\text{Fe}_d$ , desorption and settlement of  $\text{Fe}_p$  are formulated as follows:  
 229

$$230 \quad (\text{Fe}_d \text{ scavenging}) = \begin{cases} f_{\text{Fe}_p} \lambda_{\text{scav}} (F_{\text{POC}} + F_{\text{dust}}) [\text{Fe}_d] & ([\text{Fe}_d] < C_{\text{ligand}}(0.6\text{nM})) \\ f_{\text{Fe}_p} \{ \lambda_{\text{scav}} (F_{\text{POC}} + F_{\text{dust}}) [\text{Fe}_d] + \gamma_{\text{high}} ([\text{Fe}_d] - C_{\text{ligand}}) \} [\text{Fe}_d] & ([\text{Fe}_d] \geq C_{\text{ligand}}(0.6\text{nM})) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A79})$$

$$232 \quad (\text{Fe}_d \text{ burial}) = \begin{cases} (1 - f_{\text{Fe}_p}) \lambda_{\text{scav}} (F_{\text{POC}} + F_{\text{dust}}) [\text{Fe}_d] & ([\text{Fe}_d] < C_{\text{ligand}}(0.6\text{nM})) \\ (1 - f_{\text{Fe}_p}) \{ \lambda_{\text{scav}} (F_{\text{POC}} + F_{\text{dust}}) [\text{Fe}_d] + \gamma_{\text{high}} ([\text{Fe}_d] - C_{\text{ligand}}) \} [\text{Fe}_d] & ([\text{Fe}_d] \geq C_{\text{ligand}}(0.6\text{nM})) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A80})$$

$$234 \quad (\text{Fe}_p \text{ desorption}) = \lambda_{\text{desorption}} \exp \left\{ -A_E \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right) \right\} [\text{Fe}_p], \quad (\text{A81})$$

$$235 \quad (\text{Fe}_p \text{ settlement}) = -w_{\text{Fe}_p} \frac{\partial [\text{Fe}_p]}{\partial z}. \quad (\text{A82})$$

236  
 237 In A79 and A80,  $F_{\text{POC}}$  and  $F_{\text{dust}}$  represent the flux of POC and dust at a given depth, respectively,  
 238 and  $C_{\text{ligand}}$  is the prescribed total ligand concentration (0.6nM).  $F_{\text{POC}}$  is converted from PON flux  
 239 with  $R_{\text{CN}}$ .

240  
 241